# United States District Court WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

#### **UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING REVOCATION HEARING

		٧.		REVOCATION HEARING	
RA	MIR	O TR	EVINO	Case Number: 1:12-pt-17	
requ	In a	accorda e deter	ance with the Bail Reform Ac ntion of the defendant pendir	t, 18 U.S.C.§3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts grevocation hearing in this case.	
				Part I - Findings of Fact	
	(1)	offe	e defendant is charged with nse) (state or local offense tha sted) that is	an offense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal at would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had	
			a crime of violence as defin	ed in 18 U.S.C.§3156(a)(4).	
			an offense for which the ma	aximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.	
			an offense for which the m	aximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in	
			a felony that was committee U.S.C.§3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), c	d after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or comparable state or local offenses.	
	(2)			) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local	
	(3)	A pe	offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).		
	(4)	assu	ings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) esta are the safety of (an)other p sumption.	blish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably erson(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this	
	(4)	-	-	Alternate Findings (A)	
	(1)	I her	•	eve that the defendant has committed an offense	
			under 18 U.S.C.§924(c).	of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in	
Ш	(2)	The reason	defendant has not rebutted the onably assure the appearance	ne presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will be of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.	
	(1)	Ther	re is a serious risk that the c	Alternate Findings (B)	
×	(2)			efendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.	
		sup	ervised release was modif	istory of prior convictions (as many as 11) for driving while license suspended. His ied at the end of August 2013, for driving while license suspended, and he is again on January 4, 2014.	
		Def	endant's supervised releas	se has also been previously modified for past cocaine (continued on attachment)	
			Part II - Writt	en Statement of Reasons for Detention	
				clear and convincing evidence. He either cannot or will not control his drinking, and s license has been suspended. This makes him a danger to the community.	
				- Directions Regarding Detention	
Th correct order of facility proce	ne de stions of a d shal edin	fendar facility court o Il deliv g.	nt is committed to the cus	tody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. O request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the correction nited States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a counterpose.	
Date	<sub>վ</sub> ի	anuar	y 22, 2014	/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.	
Date	u. <u>J</u>		<i>,</i> , · · ·	Signature of Judicial Officer	

Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr., United States Magistrate Judge

United States v. Ramiro Trevino 1:12-pt-17 **ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING REVOCATION HEARING** Page 2.

## Alternate Findings (B) - (continued)

and alcohol use, and in the present case he tested positive for .11% blood alcohol content on field sobriety tests given to him when he was found trying to drive an automobile out of a snow bank. He admitted to drinking "a few beers" in the police report.